

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,245	KAHL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) ____.

(2) Thomas Champagne.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2006

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

67-81

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Champagne to suggest cancellation of claims 67-81, since the recent amendments necessitate restriction of these claims from those presented earlier. Mr. Champagne was not able to authorize such amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)